

Public Health Surveillance

Diane Woolard, Ph.D., M.P.H.
Division of Surveillance & Investigation
Virginia Department of Health
2008



Objectives of Lecture

- Key concepts of surveillance
 - Definition
 - Uses
 - Methods
- Public health surveillance systems
- Use and evaluation of surveillance systems

What comes to mind when you hear ‘surveillance’?

- Law enforcement agencies
- CIA



- Routine data collection
- Statistics
- Trends



Definition of Surveillance

- The ongoing systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of outcome-specific data for use in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of public health practice.
- Includes data collection, analysis, and dissemination to those responsible for prevention and control.

What Surveillance Is

- Systematic, ongoing...
 - Collection
 - Analysis
 - Interpretation
 - Dissemination
- ...of health outcome data



Surveillance History in U.S.

- 1741 – Rhode Island passed an act requiring tavern keepers to report contagious disease
- 1850 – Mortality statistics first published by the federal government for the U.S.
- 1874 – Massachusetts instituted weekly reporting of diseases by physicians
- 1878 – Public Health Service (PHS)-type organization created to collect morbidity data for use in quarantine for cholera, smallpox, plague, yellow fever.

Surveillance History in U.S.

- 1901 – All states required disease reporting.
- 1925 – All states began participating in national morbidity reporting
- 1935 – First national health survey
- 1951 – Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) authorized to determine diseases to be reported to PHS
- 1961 – Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) published

MMWR

Legal Authority for Surveillance

- Legal authority for mandatory public health surveillance resides with states
- Virginia Code
 - 32.1-35 – BOH shall promulgate a list of diseases required to be reported.
 - 32.1-36 – Physicians and laboratories shall report.
 - 32.1-37 – Medical care facilities, schools and summer camps shall report.
 - 32.1-39 – BOH shall provide for surveillance & investigation.

Purpose of Surveillance


- To assess public health status, to define public health priorities, to evaluate programs, and to stimulate research.
 - Tells us where the problems are, who is affected, and where the programmatic and prevention activities should be directed.

How can surveillance data be used?

- Estimates of a health problem
- Natural history of disease
- Detection of epidemics
- Distribution and spread of a health event
- Hypothesis testing
- Evaluating control and prevention measures
- Monitoring change
- Detecting changes in health practice
- Facilitate planning



Uses of Surveillance Data Estimates of a Health Problem

- Quantitative estimates of the magnitude of a health problem
 - including sudden or long-term changes in trends, patterns




Uses of Surveillance Data Natural History of Disease

- Portrayal of the natural history of disease (clinical spectrum, epidemiology)




Uses of Surveillance Data Detection of Epidemics

SALMONELLOSIS Incidence,* by year United States, 1973-2003



*Per 100,000 population
Slide from CDC 2003 Annual Summary


FIGURE 3. Number* of reported mumps cases linked to multistate outbreak, by week of onset† — United States, January 1 — May 2, 2009



*n = 2,073.
†Week of symptom onset for 1,850 (91%) cases, week of laboratory diagnosis for 123 (6%), week of report for 50 (2%), week of diagnosis for 11 (1%), and category unknown for one (0.1%).
‡Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.
§Data for April are preliminary.

Uses of Surveillance Data Distribution & Spread of a Health Event


- West Nile Virus in the US, 2000-2003



Use of Surveillance Data Hypothesis Testing

- Facilitation of epidemiologic and laboratory research
 - Hypothesis testing

PERTUSSIS Number of reported cases*, by age group United States, 2003




*Of 11,647 cases, age was reported unknown for 46 (0.4%) cases.
Slide from CDC - 2003 Annual Summary

Uses of Surveillance Data Evaluating control & prevention measures


Effectiveness of vaccine introduction

FIGURE 1. Reported measles cases among 1-year-old children in the Americas, 1980-1997*



Source: PAHO/WHO


Poliomyelitis (Paralytic)—by Year, United States, 1951-1996



Uses of Surveillance Data Monitoring changes

- Monitoring changes in infectious agents and host factors


Percentage of Nosocomial Enterococci Reported as Resistant to Vancomycin in ICUs and non-ICUs, by Year, 1989-1994



National Nosocomial Infection Surveillance System

Uses of Surveillance Data Detecting Changes in Health Practice

Cesarean Deliveries as a Percentage of all Deliveries in U.S. Hospitals, by Year



Uses of Surveillance Data Facilitate Planning

- Identify target populations in need of health services
 - Refugee populations
 - Morbidity surveillance in emergency shelters
- Identify health topics to be addressed by educational programs and media

Outcomes

- Surveillance is outcome oriented
- Can measure frequency of an illness or injury, severity of the condition, and impact of the condition.
- Number of cases, incidence, prevalence; case fatality, hospitalization rate, mortality, disability; cost.
- Orient data by person, place, and time.

Planning a Surveillance System

- Establish objectives
- Develop case definitions
- Determine data source or data collection mechanism
- Field test methods
- Develop and test analytic approach
- Develop dissemination mechanism
- Assure use of analysis and interpretation

What Should be Under Surveillance?

- Establish priorities based on:
 - Frequency (incid., prev., mortality, YPLL)
 - Severity (case-fatality, hospitalization rate, disability rate)
 - Cost (direct and indirect)
 - Preventability
 - Communicability
 - Public interest
 - Will the data be useful for public health action?

Surveillance Methods Case Definition

- Case definition
 - Important to clearly define condition
 - Ensures same criteria are used by all
 - Makes the data more comparable
 - Include person, place, time
 - May define suspected and confirmed cases
 - May include symptoms, lab values, time period, population as appropriate

Case Definition Examples

- Weak Definition - Measles
 - Any person with a rash and fever, runny nose, or conjunctivitis
- Better Definition - Measles
 - Any person with a fever >101 F, runny nose, conjunctivitis, red blotchy rash for at least 3 days, and laboratory confirmation of IgM antibodies
- Clinical, Probable, Confirmed Case Definitions
- Outbreak Case Definition
 - Differs from routine surveillance
 - Epidemiologically linked

Surveillance Methods Data Collection

- Data collection
 - Standardized instruments, field tested
- Passive Surveillance
 - Providers are responsible for reporting.
 - Health dept. waits to receive reports.
 - Problem with underreporting
- Active Surveillance
 - Providers contacted on regular basis to collect information
 - More resource intensive
 - Used for outbreaks or pilots (e.g., HUS)



Surveillance Methods Data Analysis

- Ongoing review
- Descriptive statistics, Multivariate analyses
- Automated analyses

Disease	Number of cases



Surveillance Methods Interpretation and Dissemination

- Presentation of data in the form of tables, graphs, maps, etc.
- Disseminate data via reports, presentations, internet, etc.



Surveillance Methods Evaluation

- Did the system generate needed answers to problems?
- Was the information timely?
- Was it useful for planners, researchers, etc.?
- How was the information used?
- Was it worth the effort?
- What can be done to make it better?
- (More on evaluation later).

Cycle of Surveillance

- Data Collection
 - Pertinent, regular, frequent, timely
- Consolidation and Interpretation
 - Orderly, descriptive, evaluative, timely
- Dissemination
 - Prompt, to all who need to know (data providers and action takers)
- Action to Control and Prevent
- Evaluation

Data Sources

- Vital Statistics
- Notifiable Diseases
- Registries
- Sentinel Surveillance
- Syndromic Surveillance
- Surveys
- Administrative Data

Data Sources: Vital Statistics

- Live Births
- Deaths
- Fetal Deaths
- Marriages
- Divorces
- Induced Terminations of Pregnancy
- Infant Mortality (link birth and death data)

Virginia Birth Certificate

VS 1 100 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA - CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - DIVISION OF VITAL RECORDS - RICHMOND

DATE OF BIRTH: 1980-01-01
PLACE OF BIRTH: RICHMOND, VA
MOTHER'S NAME: JANE DOE
FATHER'S NAME: JOHN DOE

1. FULL NAME OF CHILD: JANE DOE
2. DATE AND TIME OF BIRTH: 01/01/80 10:00 AM
3. PLACE OF BIRTH: RICHMOND, VA
4. CITY OR TOWN OF BIRTH: RICHMOND, VA
5. STATE (OR FEDERAL COUNTY) OF BIRTH: VA
6. COUNTY OF BIRTH: RICHMOND
7. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
8. STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE NO. OF RESIDENCE: 123 MAIN ST
9. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
10. STATE (OR FEDERAL COUNTY) OF RESIDENCE: VA
11. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND
12. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
13. STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE NO. OF RESIDENCE: 123 MAIN ST
14. FULL NAME OF MOTHER: JANE DOE
15. AGE OF MOTHER: 28
16. MOTHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (state or foreign country): VA
17. FULL NAME OF FATHER: JOHN DOE
18. AGE OF FATHER: 32
19. FATHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (state or foreign country): VA
20. CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT: (Signature of medical, hospital, or other informant) JANE DOE
21. CERTIFY THAT THIS CHILD WAS BORN ALIVE ON THE DATE AND HOUR STATED ABOVE. (No "DO" or "NONE" OTHER DATE RECORD SHOULD BE MADE) (Signature of attending physician) JANE DOE
22. RESIGNATURE: JANE DOE
23. RESIGNED FOR REGISTRATION USE: JANE DOE

I request a Social Security card for this child. (Signature) JANE DOE

Virginia Birth Certificate

CONFIDENTIAL DATA FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (This section must be completed) Items 28 and 32 specifically required by Federal Statute in their medical record number

1. FULL NAME OF CHILD: JANE DOE
2. DATE AND TIME OF BIRTH: 01/01/80 10:00 AM
3. PLACE OF BIRTH: RICHMOND, VA
4. CITY OR TOWN OF BIRTH: RICHMOND, VA
5. STATE (OR FEDERAL COUNTY) OF BIRTH: VA
6. COUNTY OF BIRTH: RICHMOND
7. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
8. STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE NO. OF RESIDENCE: 123 MAIN ST
9. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
10. STATE (OR FEDERAL COUNTY) OF RESIDENCE: VA
11. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND
12. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
13. STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE NO. OF RESIDENCE: 123 MAIN ST
14. FULL NAME OF MOTHER: JANE DOE
15. AGE OF MOTHER: 28
16. MOTHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (state or foreign country): VA
17. FULL NAME OF FATHER: JOHN DOE
18. AGE OF FATHER: 32
19. FATHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (state or foreign country): VA
20. CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT: (Signature of medical, hospital, or other informant) JANE DOE
21. CERTIFY THAT THIS CHILD WAS BORN ALIVE ON THE DATE AND HOUR STATED ABOVE. (No "DO" or "NONE" OTHER DATE RECORD SHOULD BE MADE) (Signature of attending physician) JANE DOE
22. RESIGNATURE: JANE DOE
23. RESIGNED FOR REGISTRATION USE: JANE DOE

24. MOTHER'S HISTORY FOR THIS PREGNANCY: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, Hypertension, etc.)
25. HISTORY OF EARLY INFANT DEATHS: (Table with columns for various conditions like SIDS, etc.)
26. METHOD OF DELIVERY (mode of birth): (Table with columns for various methods like Vaginal, Cesarean, etc.)
27. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF CHILD: (Table with columns for various conditions like Congenital anomalies, etc.)
28. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF MOTHER: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
29. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF FATHER: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
30. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF GRANDFATHER: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
31. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF OTHER RELATIVES: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
32. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF OTHER RELATIVES: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)

Virginia Death Certificate

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA - CERTIFICATE OF DEATH
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - DIVISION OF VITAL RECORDS - RICHMOND

DATE OF DEATH: 1980-01-01
PLACE OF DEATH: RICHMOND, VA
DECEASED'S NAME: JANE DOE

1. FULL NAME OF DECEASED: JANE DOE
2. DATE AND TIME OF DEATH: 01/01/80 10:00 AM
3. PLACE OF DEATH: RICHMOND, VA
4. CITY OR TOWN OF DEATH: RICHMOND, VA
5. STATE (OR FEDERAL COUNTY) OF DEATH: VA
6. COUNTY OF DEATH: RICHMOND
7. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
8. STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE NO. OF RESIDENCE: 123 MAIN ST
9. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
10. STATE (OR FEDERAL COUNTY) OF RESIDENCE: VA
11. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND
12. CITY OR TOWN OF RESIDENCE: RICHMOND, VA
13. STREET ADDRESS OR ROUTE NO. OF RESIDENCE: 123 MAIN ST
14. FULL NAME OF MOTHER: JANE DOE
15. AGE OF MOTHER: 28
16. MOTHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (state or foreign country): VA
17. FULL NAME OF FATHER: JOHN DOE
18. AGE OF FATHER: 32
19. FATHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH (state or foreign country): VA
20. CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT: (Signature of medical, hospital, or other informant) JANE DOE
21. CERTIFY THAT THIS DECEASED WAS BORN ALIVE ON THE DATE AND HOUR STATED ABOVE. (No "DO" or "NONE" OTHER DATE RECORD SHOULD BE MADE) (Signature of attending physician) JANE DOE
22. RESIGNATURE: JANE DOE
23. RESIGNED FOR REGISTRATION USE: JANE DOE

24. MOTHER'S HISTORY FOR THIS PREGNANCY: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
25. HISTORY OF EARLY INFANT DEATHS: (Table with columns for various conditions like SIDS, etc.)
26. METHOD OF DELIVERY (mode of birth): (Table with columns for various methods like Vaginal, Cesarean, etc.)
27. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF CHILD: (Table with columns for various conditions like Congenital anomalies, etc.)
28. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF MOTHER: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
29. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF FATHER: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
30. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF GRANDFATHER: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
31. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF OTHER RELATIVES: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)
32. PHYSICIAN'S SIGNATURE OF OTHER RELATIVES: (Table with columns for various conditions like Diabetes, etc.)


Uses of Vital Statistics Data

- Monitoring long-term trends
- Identifying differences in health status within racial or other population subgroups
- Assessing differences by geographic area
- Monitoring deaths that are preventable
- Generating hypotheses about causation
- Monitoring progress toward improved health of the population; health-planning

Vital Records: Coding and Calculating

- ICD-9 historically, now ICD-10
- Infant mortality - need number of live births for denominator in calculating rates
- Other death rates - use total population in rate calculations.
- Crude and adjusted (standardized) rates used.

Vital Statistics Data



Quality of Vital Stats Depends on

- Care taken by health care providers in ascertaining cause of death and other factors
- Accuracy of coding (difficult for injuries)
- Relevance of existing codes for the condition being recorded
- Accuracy of population estimates
- Problems - don't know onset, can't see effect of diseases that don't lead to death

Data Sources: Notifiable Diseases

- States decide what is notifiable/reportable
 - Based on disease occurrence, potential for outbreaks, public perception of risk, etc.
 - CSTE recommendations
 - Different processes for generating N.D. list
- Weekly (or sometimes rapid) reporting to health departments by physicians, medical care facilities, laboratories.
 - States report to CDC

Reportable Disease List

Over 70 reportable diseases/conditions

Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)
 Amebiasis *
 ANTHRAX **
 Arboviral infection (e.g., EEE, LAC, SLE, WNV) *
 BOTULISM *
 BRUCELLOSIS *
 Campylobacteriosis *
 Chancroid *
 Chikungunya (Venezuela) *
 Chlamydia trachomatis infection *
 CHOLERA **
 Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease f <55 years of age *
 Cryptosporidiosis *
 Cyclosporiasis *
 DISEASE CAUSED BY AN AGENT THAT MAY HAVE BEEN USED AS A WEAPON
 Echinococcosis *
 Escherichia coli infection, Shiga toxin-producing **
 Giardiasis *
 Gonorrhea *
 Gram-negative meningitis
 HANSEN'S DISEASE
 HAVIRUS **
 Haemolysis pulmonary syndrome *
 Hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS)
 HERPES **
 Hepatitis B (acute and chronic) *
 Hepatitis C (acute and chronic) *
 Hepatitis, other acute viral
 Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection *
 Influenza **
 INFLUENZA-ASSOCIATED DEATHS IN CHILDREN <5 YEARS OF AGE
 Kawasaki syndrome
 Lead - elevated blood levels *
 Legionellosis *
 Leprosy (Hansen's disease)
 Listeriosis **
 Lyme disease
 Lymphogranuloma venereum
 Malaria
 MEASLES (Rubella) *
 MENINGOCOCCAL DISEASE **
 MONKEYPOX *
 Mumps *
 Ophthalmic neorotavirus
 OUTBREAKS, ALL (including, but not limited to, foodborne, nosocomial, occupational, toxic substance-related and anthrax)
 PERTUSSIS **
 PLAGUE **
 POLIOVIRUS **
 PSITTACOSIS *
 Q FEVER *
 RABIES, HUMAN AND ANIMAL *
 Rabies treatment, post-exposure
 Rocky Mountain spotted fever *
 RUBELLA, including congenital rubella syndrome *
 Salmonellosis **
 SEVERE ACUTE RESPIRATORY SYNDROME (SARS) *
 Shigellosis **
 SMALLPOX (Vaccinia) *
 Staphylococcus aureus infection, (invasive methicillin-resistant and any vancomycin-intermediate or vancomycin-resistant)
 Streptococcal disease, Group A, invasive **
 Streptococcus pneumoniae infection, invasive, in children <5 years of age *
 Syphilis (report primary and secondary syphilis by rapid means) *
 Tetanus
 Toxic shock syndrome
 Toxic substance-related illness *
 Trichinosis (Trichinella) *
 TUBERCULOSIS, ACTIVE DISEASE (MYCOBACTERIA-) **
 Tuberculosis infection in children <5 years of age
 TULARAEMIA
 TYPHOID FEVER *
 UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE OF DISEASE OF PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN
 Vaccinal disease or adverse event *
 VIKRIO INFECTION *
 VITAL MENINGOCOCCAL FEVER *
 YELLOW FEVER *
 Yersiniosis **

Epi-1 Form

USE THE TOP PORTION OF THIS REPORT FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT REPORTING

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
 Confidential Mortality Report

Case/Event/Link For Reporting: _____

Report to (State, City, or Town, Date, Zip Code): _____

Report to (County/Parish): _____

Case #/ID #: _____

Sex: Male () Female ()

Age: _____

DOB: _____

DATE OF ONSET: _____

DATE OF DEATH: _____

DATE OF REPORT: _____

REPORTED BY: _____

REPORTED TO: _____

LABORATORY INFORMATION AND RESULTS

LABORATORY NAME: _____

TESTS PERFORMED: _____

RESULTS: _____

OTHER INFORMATION

REMARKS: _____

FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT USE


DATE RECEIVED: _____




REPORTED BY: _____

REPORTED TO: _____

PLEASE COMPLETE AS MUCH OF THIS FORM AS POSSIBLE

Change in Disease Incidence in 2006 When Compared to Five-Year Mean





Limitations of Disease Reporting

- Underreporting
 - Reporting better for more serious diseases and those for which there is laboratory confirmation
 - Need to seek medical consultation to be diagnosed and then reported
- Lack of representativeness of reported cases
- Inconsistent case definitions

Reasons for Not Reporting

- Assume someone else reported.
- Did not know reporting was required; don't have a copy of the reportable disease list.
- Do not know how to report; don't have form or telephone number.
- Concern about confidentiality and doctor-patient relationship.
- No incentive to report. Time-consuming. Unaware of value.

How to Improve Reporting

- Contact physicians in the community
 - Tell them the health department is very interested in morbidity reporting
- Maintain a reasonable list of reportable diseases
- Maximize contact through presentations, mailings, newsletters, media, etc.
- Use the data

Nonetheless...

- The best system we have for communicable disease morbidity
- Information available quickly and from all jurisdictions
- Can detect outbreaks / changes in incidence
- Allows disease control measures to be implemented

Data Source: Registries

- Information from multiple sources is linked for each individual over time.
 - Diverse sources of information. E.g., hospitals (sometimes >1), pathology, death certificates.
- Used for cancer, congenital anomalies, trauma, etc.
- Most are passive but resource intensive.
- More lag in data availability due to complexity of data collection process.


Populations Covered by Registries

- Hospital-based
- Population-based
- Exposure registries
 - World Trade Center Health Registry
 - Three Mile Island

Example: Virginia Cancer Registry


- Methods prescribed by ACOS, NAACCR, Virginia regulations, CDC.
- Hospital registries are main source of data.
- Voluntary reporting, 1970-1989
- Mandatory reporting, 1990-present
- Demographic, geographic, clinical data
- Annual merge with vital records for survival information.

Registry Data




Electronic Surveillance

- National Electronic Disease Surveillance System (NEDSS)
 - A set of criteria developed by CDC that all public health surveillance systems must meet
 - Virginia adopted CDC's NEDSS Base System
 - Supported by EP&R funds



Syndromic Surveillance

- Uses pre-diagnostic indicators to identify emerging health problems



Automating Syndromic Surveillance

- Began as manual activity just after 9/11/01
- Automated in 2004 with ESSENCE
 - Electronic Surveillance System for the Early Notification of Community-Based Epidemics (Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory)
- Access limited to approved VDH staff
- Collaborate with District of Columbia and Maryland to monitor national capital region

ESSENCE


- Hospital emergency departments and urgent care centers electronically transmit chief complaints to secure VDH server every day
- System also includes:
 - Over the counter drug sales
 - Military claims
 - HMO claims
 - School attendance (being added)

Syndromes

- Complaints tallied into syndrome categories
 - Death
 - Sepsis (serious infection)
 - Rash
 - Respiratory (e.g., cough)
 - Gastrointestinal (e.g., diarrhea)
 - Unspecified Infection (fever)
 - Neurological (e.g., dizziness)
 - Other

ESSENCE

- Automated analyses identify unusual patterns and increases are investigated



Exposure Detection

- U.S. Postal Services' BioHazard Detection System
 - Tests for anthrax in mail sorting area every hour
 - Selected Post Offices in Virginia
 - Response is collaborative
- Homeland Security/DOD BioWatch System
 - DC area, including northern Virginia
 - Central Virginia around Richmond
 - Eastern Virginia around military bases
 - Monitors for biologic agents atop buildings

Data Source: Surveys

- If done continually or periodically, can monitor risk factors and changes in prevalence over time
- Can also assess knowledge, attitudes
- People usually queried only once and not monitored on an individual basis after that
- From questionnaires, interviews (in person or telephone), or record review

National Surveys – www.cdc.gov/nchs

- National Health Interview Survey
 - Random selection of households
 - In home interview gathering information on all in the household
 - Self-reported illnesses, chronic conditions, injuries, impairments, use of health services
 - Civilian, non-institutionalized population


National Surveys, continued

- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)
 - Prevalence of chronic conditions, distribution of physiologic and anthropomorphic measures, and nutritional status for representative samples of the U.S. population
- National Health Care Survey, includes
 - National Hospital Discharge Survey
 - National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey

BRFSS

- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
 - Random digit telephone surveys on non-institutionalized adults' health behavior and use of prevention services
 - Height, weight, physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, seatbelt use, cholesterol screening, mammography, etc.
 - Done in most states
 - CDC program

BRFSS Charts



Other Survey Examples

- Exit interviews at health facilities
- Special studies
 - Risk-behavior
- Cluster surveys
 - Rapid surveillance after emergencies

Data Source Administrative Data

- Routinely collected for other reasons.
- E.g., hospital discharge data collected for billing purposes, Medicaid and Medicare data, emergency department data, data collected in managed care organizations.
- Virginia Health Information (VHI) – our hospital discharge database

Cause of Injury Death From Hospital Discharge Data

City/County	Mechanism	Count	Population, Rate	App. Adjusted Rate
Virginia	Cut or Pierce	37,642,004	0.04	0.04
Virginia	Drowning	327,642,004	1.20	1.20
Virginia	Fall	3097,642,004	5.09	5.09
Virginia	Fire/Flame	607,642,004	1.13	1.13
Virginia	Fire/Hot Object or Substance	37,642,004	0.04	0.04
Virginia	Firearm	147,642,004	0.10	0.10
Virginia	Machinery	297,642,004	0.30	0.30
Virginia	Motor Vehicle Traffic	487,642,004	0.89	0.89
Virginia	Motorcyclist	2027,642,004	3.49	3.49
Virginia	Motor Vehicle Traffic Occupant	127,642,004	0.16	0.16
Virginia	Motor Vehicle Traffic Pedal cyclist	737,642,004	0.96	0.96
Virginia	Motor Vehicle Traffic Pedestrian	4757,642,004	6.21	6.21
Virginia	Motor Vehicle Traffic unspecified	147,642,004	0.50	0.50
Virginia	Other land transport	367,642,004	0.47	0.47
Virginia	Other natural/environmental	397,642,004	0.51	0.51
Virginia	Other specified, not classifiable	267,642,004	0.34	0.34
Virginia	Other transport	257,642,004	0.34	0.34
Virginia	Pedal cyclist, other	57,642,004	0.07	0.07
Virginia	Pedestrian, other	197,642,004	0.25	0.25
Virginia	Poisoning	4997,642,004	6.53	6.53
Virginia	Struck by, against	307,642,004	0.39	0.39
Virginia	Suffocation	1507,642,004	2.07	2.07
Virginia	unspecified	2377,642,004	3.36	3.36

<http://www.vahealth.org/CITP/POIS/>

Usefulness of Administrative Data

- Depends on:
 - What information is computerized
 - Standardization of codes for diagnoses, symptoms, procedures, reasons for the visit
 - Time between occurrence of health event and availability of data
 - Ability to link with other data systems
 - Whether supplementary information can be obtained.

Data Sources We Covered

- Vital Statistics
- Notifiable Diseases
- Registries
- Sentinel Surveillance
- Syndromic Surveillance
- Surveys
- Administrative Data


Other Important Surveillance Systems

- Injury
- Diabetes
- Child/Adolescent Hospitalizations
- Special temporary systems
- Drug safety
- Food Safety
- Etc. – Public health collects a lot of information on the health of our communities!

Analysis of Surveillance Data

- Descriptive epidemiology
 - Person, place, time
- Incidence and Prevalence
 - Rates -- crude, specific, standardized
- Trends and seasonality
- Geographic clustering (maps)

Graphics used to describe data



Interpretation of Surveillance Data

- Limitations
 - Under-reporting
 - Biased reporting
 - Inconsistent case definitions
- Consider context
 - Seasonality
 - Recent policy changes

Interpretative Uses of Surveillance Data

- Identifying epidemics
- Identifying new syndromes or risk groups
- Monitoring trends
- Evaluating public policy
- Projecting future needs

Data Dissemination

- What should be said? To whom? Through what communication medium? How should the message be stated? What effect did the message create?
- Determine answers based on the purpose of the system.
- SOCO - single overriding communication objective. [What is new? Who is affected? What works best?]

Data Dissemination



Evaluating Surveillance Systems

- System objectives and usefulness
 - Actions taken as a result of the data.
 - Does the system do what it's supposed to do?
- Operation of the system
 - who is reporting? to whom? what information is collected? how is information stored? who analyzes the data? what are the findings? how often are reports disseminated? to whom? etc.
- Cost

Evaluation - System Attributes

- Simplicity
 - Should be as simple as possible and as easy to operate as possible.
- Flexibility
 - Should be able to adapt to changing needs.
- Acceptability
 - Willingness of individuals or organizations to participate in the surveillance system. (Judge based on completeness, timeliness, reporting)

Evaluation - System Attributes

- Sensitivity
 - Proportion of cases detected by the system. Completeness of reporting. Detect epidemics?
 - Increased awareness, new diagnostic test, change in surveillance method may impact.
- Predictive Value Positive
 - Proportion of persons identified as having the disease who actually have it.

Sensitivity/Specificity and Predictive Value +/-

		Condition Present		
		Yes	No	
Detected by Surveill	Yes	True positive (A)	False positive (B)	A+B
	No	False negative (C)	True negative (D)	C+D
		A+C	B+D	
Sensit.=		A/A+C		PVP= A/A+B
Specif.=		D/B+D		PVN= D/C+D

Evaluation - System Attributes

- Representativeness
 - Do the characteristics of reported events compare favorably with those in the population.
 - Is there case ascertainment bias?
 - Bias in descriptive information about a reported case?
- Timeliness
 - Any delay between the steps? (onset, diagnosis, report to public health, disease control actions)

Ethical and Legal Issues Relating to Surveillance

- Professional obligations
- Protecting confidentiality and privacy
- Informed consent
 - Mandated activity vs. Research
- Maintaining public trust
- Right of Access

“Good surveillance does not necessarily ensure the making of right decisions, but it reduces the chances of wrong ones.”

**Alexander D. Langmuir
NEJM 1963; 268:182-191**

Contact Information

Diane Woolard, PhD MPH
Director, Division of Surveillance
& Investigation
(804) 864-8141
diane.woolard@vdh.virginia.gov

Lesliann Helmus, MS
Surveillance Chief
(804) 864-8141
Lesliann.helmus@
vdh.virginia.gov